

Published on Web 06/10/2009

## Stabilization of Iridium(IV) by Monoanionic Dialkyldiarylguanidinato Ligands

Jan-Uwe Rohde\* and Wei-Tsung Lee

Department of Chemistry, The University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa 52242

Received April 25, 2009; E-mail: jan-uwe-rohde@uiowa.edu

High-valent iridium has become an attractive target in recent years, mainly due to its role in C–H bond activation and oxygen atom transfer reactions.<sup>1,2</sup> Stable complexes of  $Ir^{IV}$  have found applications as one-electron oxidants for synthetic purposes and in mechanistic studies,<sup>3</sup> whereas transient  $Ir^{IV}$  species are involved in oxidatively induced C–H bond cleavage<sup>4</sup> and, more recently, in water oxidation chemistry.<sup>5</sup> Despite these developments, welldefined examples of Ir in the +IV oxidation state are still limited.<sup>6</sup> These include inorganic hexahalogeno complexes<sup>7</sup> and several organometallic compounds<sup>2,8</sup> with substituted phenyl or cyclopentadienyl ligands.

For a broader exploration of the chemistry of Ir<sup>IV</sup>, however, the availability of complexes with multidentate ligands based on organic heteroatom-donor frameworks would be of great advantage, as has been the case for other high-valent transition metal centers.<sup>9</sup> Although a series of moderately stable complexes of the type  $[Ir^{IV}X_4L_2]$  (where X = Cl, Br and L = N-, P-, or S-donor ligand) were described two decades ago, the corresponding complexes with more than two heteroatom donors could not be obtained.<sup>10</sup> Nevertheless, electrochemical studies of IrIII complexes of phenylpyridine-derived<sup>11</sup> and corrolato ligands<sup>12</sup> suggest that highvalent analogues may be within reach. Intrigued by these reports, we decided to take advantage of the strongly electron-releasing character of N,N-dialkyl-N',N"-diarylguanidinato ligands,  ${ArNC(NR_2)NAr}^{-,13}$  and to investigate their potential for the stabilization of high-valent iridium. Herein, we report the synthesis and characterization of tris(guanidinato) complexes of Ir<sup>III</sup> and Ir<sup>IV</sup>, which feature coordination by six organic nitrogen donors, including the crystal structure of an Ir<sup>IV</sup> complex.

The Ir<sup>III</sup> complexes  $[Ir{ArNC(NR_2)NAr}_3]$  (where R = Me, Ar = Ph (2a); R = Et, Ar = Ph (2b); and R = Me, Ar = 4-MeC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub> (2c)) were synthesized by the reaction of the corresponding  $[Ir{ArNC(NR_2)NAr}(coe)_2]$  complexes, **1a**-**c**, with the appropriate lithium guanidinates and dioxygen, as shown in Scheme 1 (coe =cis-cyclooctene). This reaction presumably proceeds through oxidation of the Ir<sup>I</sup> center, dissociation of the labile alkene ligands, and coordination of the guanidinate anions. Surprisingly, 2a-c are slightly air-sensitive, so the workup, which includes the separation from as yet unidentified byproducts, must be carried out under the exclusion of O2. Compounds 2a-c were isolated as racemic mixtures ( $\Delta$ - and  $\Lambda$ -enantiomers), and their molecular composition was established by analytical and spectroscopic methods (cf. Supporting Information). The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of each of these complexes indicate a symmetric N,N'-chelating binding mode for the bidentate guanidinato ligands and are consistent with coordination to a low-spin  $d^6$  Ir<sup>III</sup> center in the expected  $D_3$  symmetric environment.

Electrochemical measurements revealed that  $2\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{c}$  can be oxidized at unusually low potentials. The cyclic voltammogram of  $2\mathbf{a}$ , for example, displays two distinct one-electron redox events at potentials of -0.27 and 0.73 V [ $E_{1/2} = (E_{pa} + E_{pc})/2$ ] with respect to the [FeCp<sub>2</sub>]/[FeCp<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup> redox couple (Figure 1). The first electron

Scheme 1. Synthesis of Ir<sup>III</sup> and Ir<sup>IV</sup> Compounds 2a-c and 3a-c<sup>a</sup>



 $4-C_6H_4R';$  (*i*) 3 equiv of Li{ArNC(NR<sub>2</sub>)NAr}, O<sub>2</sub>; (*ii*) 1 equiv of [FeCp<sub>2</sub>]PF<sub>6</sub>.

transfer is a reversible, diffusion-controlled process, whose  $\Delta E_{\rm p}$ values do not differ significantly for scan rates ranging from 0.05 to 0.5 V·s<sup>-1</sup> ( $\Delta E_p = E_{pa} - E_{pc}$ ). Because both free guanidine, PhN=C(NMe<sub>2</sub>)NHPh, and lithium guanidinate, Li{PhNC(NMe<sub>2</sub>)-NPh}, are irreversibly oxidized at much more positive potentials ( $\approx 0.66$  and 0.49 V, respectively), the first redox event in **2a** may be assigned to a metal-centered electron-transfer process. The second step is quasi-reversible and may be attributable to either a metal-centered or a ligand-centered process. Voltammograms similar to those for 2a were obtained for 2b and 2c, but the first redox step occurred at lower potentials, i.e., -0.33 V for **2b** and -0.41 V for **2c**, in line with the increasing electron donation from the guanidinato ligand<sup>13</sup> to the Ir center (2a < 2b < 2c). By comparison, the  $Ir^{III}/Ir^{IV}$  redox potentials of 2a-c are significantly less positive than those of many other  $Ir^{III}$  complexes ( $\geq 0.21$  V vs [FeCp<sub>2</sub>]/[FeCp<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>).<sup>10,14a</sup> Even complexes with very electron-rich ligand sets such as cyclometalated tris(2-pyridylphenyl),<sup>11,14b</sup> corrolato,12 and tris(dithiocarbamato) complexes,15 which all provide a trianionic environment around the Ir center akin to the tris(guanidinato) complexes 2a-c, exhibit higher potentials  $(0.07-0.91 \text{ V vs } [FeCp_2]/[FeCp_2]^+).$ 

Chemical oxidation of **2a** in dichloromethane solution with [FeCp<sub>2</sub>]PF<sub>6</sub> produced [Ir{PhNC(NMe<sub>2</sub>)NPh}<sub>3</sub>]PF<sub>6</sub>, **3a** (Scheme 1), with absorption bands in the vis–near-IR region  $[\lambda_{max} = 540 \ (\epsilon =$ 



**Figure 1.** Cyclic voltammograms of 0.1 mM **2a** in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (0.1 M NBu<sub>4</sub>ClO<sub>4</sub>) at scan rates of 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, and 0.5 V  $\cdot$ s<sup>-1</sup>. Inset: Plot of anodic peak current, *i*<sub>pa</sub>, versus square root of scan rate for the first ( $\blacksquare$ , black; *R*<sup>2</sup> = 0.9982) and second oxidation ( $\blacklozenge$ , red; *R*<sup>2</sup> = 0.9861).



Figure 2. Oxidation of 0.1 mM 2a (-, black) to 3a (-, violet) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> by addition of [FeCp<sub>2</sub>]PF<sub>6</sub> in increments of 0.25 equiv as monitored by electronic absorption spectroscopy (path length, 0.5 cm). Inset: Corresponding changes of the absorbance at 362 (■, black) and 696 nm (◆, violet).

2800  $M^{-1}{\mbox{\cdot}} cm^{-1})$  and 696 nm (3100)]. As shown in Figure 2, incremental addition of [FeCp<sub>2</sub>]PF<sub>6</sub> demonstrated that 1 equiv is required for maximal formation of 3a, and the isosbestic point in this conversion indicates that 3a was formed directly from 2a. On a larger scale, 3a-c were generated and isolated as dark violet solids in nearly quantitative yields and analytically pure form. The complex cations,  $[Ir{ArNC(NR_2)NAr}_3]^+$ , were identified by ESI-MS. As expected for odd-electron species, the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of 3a-c are featureless.



Figure 3. Molecular structure of the complex cation of 3a. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level; hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity. Color key: pink = Ir, plue = N, gray = C.

A crystallographic analysis on a single crystal of **3a**, grown by vapor diffusion of *n*-pentane into a dichloromethane solution at -30°C, confirmed the formation of [Ir{PhNC(NMe<sub>2</sub>)NPh}<sub>3</sub>]PF<sub>6</sub> (Figure 3 and Supporting Information). The Ir-N distances of 2.040(3) and 2.064(3) Å agree well with those in [IrCl<sub>4</sub>(phen)].<sup>10b</sup> The intraligand C-N and C-C distances are comparable to those of related guanidinato complexes of Ir<sup>I</sup> and Ir<sup>III</sup>.<sup>13,16</sup> In contrast, the C-C distances in IrIII semiquinonato complexes display bond alternation, clearly indicating ligand radical character.<sup>17</sup> The crystal structure thus provides evidence for the assignment of 3a as an Ir<sup>IV</sup> rather than an Ir<sup>III</sup>-ligand-radical species. In agreement with this assignment, the 77 K EPR spectrum of 3a in frozen solution is consistent with the presence of a low-spin  $d^5$  Ir<sup>IV</sup> center.<sup>18</sup>

In summary, we have prepared and characterized rare examples of air-sensitive coordinatively saturated IrIII complexes. Oxidation of these compounds led to the isolation of stable tris(guanidinato)iridium(IV) complexes, in which the Ir center is exclusively coordinated by nitrogen-donor ligands. These results underscore the exceptional ability of the N,N-dialkyl-N',N"-diarylguanidinate anions to stabilize transition metals in high oxidation states and suggest them as excellent ancillary ligands for new high-valent Ir complexes that may be tailored to effect useful transformations. Current efforts are directed at the identification of the even further oxidized species detected in our electrochemical studies.

Acknowledgment. Acknowledgment is made to the University of Iowa and the Donors of the American Chemical Society Petroleum Research Fund (46587-G3) for support of this research. We thank Dr. Dale C. Swenson for the collection of X-ray diffraction data and Dr. Sarah C. Larsen and Mr. William M. Ames for access to and assistance with their EPR spectrometer.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures, characterization data of 2a-c and 3a-c, details of the crystal structure determination (Tables S1-S3, PDF), and CIF of 3a. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

## References

- (a) Klei, S. R.; Tilley, T. D.; Bergman, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 1816.
   (b) Kawamura, K.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 8422.
   (c) Young, K. J. H.; Mironov, O. A.; Periana, R. A. Organometallics 2007, 26, 2137.
- (2) Fortner, K. C.; Laitar, D. S.; Muldoon, J.; Pu, L.; Braun-Sand, S. B.; Wiest,
- O.; Brown, S. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 588.
   (a) Connelly, N. G.; Geiger, W. E. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 877. (b) Song, N.; Stanbury, D. M. Inorg. Chem. 2008, 47, 11458.
- (4) (a) Diversi, P.; Iacoponi, S.; Ingrosso, G.; Laschi, F.; Lucherini, A.; Pinzino, C.; Uccello-Barretta, G.; Zanello, P. Organometallics 1995, 14, 3275. (b) Fooladi, E.; Graham, T.; Turner, M. L.; Dalhus, B.; Maitlis, P. M.; Tilset, M. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 2002, 975.
- (5) McDaniel, N. D.; Coughlin, F. J.; Tinker, L. L.; Bernhard, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 210.
- (6) (a) Gulliver, D. J.; Levason, W. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1982, 46, 1. (b) Yellowlees, L. J.; MacNamara, K. G. In Comprehensive Coordination Chemistry II; McCleverty, J. A., Meyer, T. J., Eds.; Elsevier: Oxford, U.K., 2004; Vol. 6, pp 147-246
- (a) Tensfeldt, D.; Preetz, W. Z. Naturforsch., B: Chem. Sci. 1984, 39b, 1185. (b) Preetz, W.; Steinebach, H.-J. Z. Naturforsch., B: Chem. Sci. 1985, 40h 745
- (a) Isobe, K.; Vazquez de Miguel, A.; Nutton, A.; Maitlis, P. M. J. Chem.
   Soc., Dalton Trans. 1984, 929. (b) McGhee, W. D.; Foo, T.; Hollander,
   F. J.; Bergman, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 8543. (c) Hay-(8)Motherwell, R. S.; Wilkinson, G.; Hussain-Bates, B.; Hursthouse, M. B. Polyhedron 1991, 10, 1457. (d) Hay-Motherwell, R. S.; Wilkinson, G.; Hussain-Bates, B.; Hursthouse, M. B. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1992, 3477. (e) Lu, Z.; Jun, C.-H.; de Gala, S. R.; Sigalas, M. P.; Eisenstein, O.;
- Crabtree, R. H. Organometallics **1995**, *14*, 1168. (9) (a) Meyer, T. J.; Huynh, M. H. V. Inorg. Chem. **2003**, *42*, 8140. (b) Che, C.-M.; Lau, T.-C. In Comprehensive Coordination Chemistry II; McCleverty, J. A., Meyer, T. J., Eds.; Elsevier: Oxford, U.K., 2004; Vol. 5, pp 733-847.
- (10) (a) Cipriano, R. A.; Levason, W.; Pletcher, D.; Powell, N. A.; Webster, M. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1987, 1901. (b) Cipriano, R. A.; Hanton, L. R.; Levason, W.; Pletcher, D.; Powell, N. A.; Webster, M. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1988, 2483.
- (11) (a) Tamayo, A. B.; Alleyne, B. D.; Djurovich, P. I.; Lamansky, S.; Tsyba, I.; Ho, N. N.; Bau, R.; Thompson, M. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, (b) Jung, S.; Kang, Y.; Kim, H.-S.; Kim, Y.-H.; Lee, C.-L.; Kim, J.-J.; Lee, S.-K.; Kwon, S.-K. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2004, 3415.
   (12) Palmer, J. H.; Day, M. W.; Wilson, A. D.; Henling, L. M.; Gross, Z.; Gray, H. B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2008, *130*, 7786.
- (13) Rohde, J.-U.; Kelley, M. R.; Lee, W.-T. *Inorg. Chem.* 2008, 47, 11461.
  (14) (a) Yoshikawa, N.; Masuda, Y.; Matsumura-Inoue, T. *Chem. Lett.* 2000, 1206. (b) Grushin, V. V.; Herron, N.; LeCloux, D. D.; Marshall, W. J.;
- Petrov, V. A.; Wang, Y. Chem. Commun. 2001, 1494.
- (15) Bond, A. M.; Colton, R.; Mann, D. R. Inorg. Chem. 1990, 29, 4665
- (16) Robinson, S. D.; Sahajpal, A.; Steed, J. Inorg. Chim. Acta 2000, 303, 265. (17) (a) Hirani, B.; Li, J.; Djurovich, P. I.; Yousufuddin, M.; Oxgaard, J.; Persson, P.; Wilson, S. R.; Bau, R.; Goddard, W. A., III; Thompson, M. E. Inorg. Chem. 2007, 46, 3865. (b) Ringenberg, M. R.; Kokatam, S. L.; Heiden,
- Z. M.; Rauchfuss, T. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2008**, *130*, 788. (18) The EPR spectrum exhibits a large g anisotropy ( $g_{\perp} = 2.38$  and  $g_{||} = 1.87$ ) and a broad line width (peak-to-peak separation  $\approx 300$  G), indicative of localization of the unpaired electron predominantly in a metal-based orbital.

JA9033445